TRANSMUTATION

The following are two statements that need to be considered well: # 1, "The late Sir George Stokes, who has been described as 'one of the giants of the Victorian era,' and concerning whom Lord Kelvin wrote that he 'ranged over the whole domain of natural philosophy in his work and thought,' used to say in his discussions with scientific men: 'But have you proved your facts?'; and in his Memoir by Professor Larmor, it is recorded that 'he could not understand the way in which, as he thought, scientific men had accepted the theory of evolution before the chain of evidence was completed; he used to say that this surprised him exceedingly, and that he knew of no similar instance in the history of scientific thought! And yet the theory of evolution is sometimes talked about as if it were one of the most certain and unquestionable results of modern science! – Sidney Collett, All About The Bible, pp. 213-214.

The next point for consideration is # 2: "But the most unanswerable testimony on this point is the following: Professor Post, a scientific gentleman from Syria, visited the British Museum of Natural History in 1885, and being in company with the late Mr. Etheridge, one of the foremost experts in the great institution, Professor Post asked to be shown some proofs of Darwin's evolution theory, and he was astonished when so great an expert said, 'In all this great museum there is not a particle of evidence of transmutation of species. It is not founded on observation of facts. The talk of the antiquity of man is of the same value; there is no such thing as fossil man. I have read all their books, but they make no impression. This museum is full of proofs of the utter falsity of such views." Sidney Collett, All About The Bible, pp. 214-215.

From some sixty-eight, (68), years of preaching, reading many books and many documents and observing the referencing to such teaching and I have never seen any evidence presented to sustain such a thought. I have seen a lot of "maybe's, a lot of "possibilities," a lot of "think so's," and a lot of "wishing;" but the evidence and facts are just not there! This is the greatest theory, built on nothing, that I have seen, in my years of preaching the Gospel and looking for such evidence, - it is just not there.

In the field of Archaeology there is no evidence. There is no supporting evidence concerning the monkey gradually becoming man!" The transition is not supported with past evidence. There has been no evidence for the "MISSING LINK," the passing from animal life to "mankind." There has been no passing from one species to another, from monkeys to men! This "MISSING LINK," they have no evidence of. 'In all this great museum there is not a particle of evidence of transmutation of species. It is not founded on observation of facts. The talk of the antiquity of man is of the same value; there is no such thing as fossil man. I have read all their books, but they make no impression. This museum is full of proofs of the utter falsity of such views." This is a very interesting statement, it is still true today!

The evidence that I have is the testimony from God: "In the beginning God created the heavens and the earth," Gen. 1:1. I believe the days of creation to be that of 24 hours each. I believe man came into existence by the creative act of God. Heb. 11: 3 says: "3 By faith we understand that the worlds have been framed by the word of God, so that what is seen hath not been made out of things which appear." God took nothing and made something! With his great power and might we are a reality and shall be till the end of time: "22 While the earth remaineth, seedtime and harvest, and cold and heat, and summer and winter, and day and night shall not cease," Gen. 8:22. The Father has reserved the "end of time," to be determined by himself and none other, Mk. 13:32.

I look forward to the time of that decision and the reality of the end of time.

Caa